

Black Box Software Testing

(Professional Seminar)

Cem Kaner, J.D., Ph.D.

Professor of Computer Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology

Section:11

Domain Testing

Summer, 2002

Contact Information:

kaner@kaner.com

www.kaner.com (testing website)

www.badsoftware.com (legal website)

I grant permission to make digital or hard copies of this work for personal or classroom use, with or without fee, provided that (a) copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage, (b) copies bear this notice and full citation on the first page, and if you distribute the work in portions, the notice and citation must appear on the first page of each portion, (c) each page bear the notice "Copyright (c) Cem Kaner" or if you changed the page, "Adapted from Notes Provided by Cem Kaner". Abstracting with credit is permitted. The proper citation for this work is Cem Kaner, *A Course in Black Box Software Testing (Professional Version)*, Summer-2002, www.testing-education.org. To copy otherwise, to republish or post on servers, or to distribute to lists requires prior specific permission and a fee. Request permission to republish from kaner@kaner.com.

Domain Testing

AKA partitioning, equivalence analysis, boundary analysis

Fundamental question or goal:

- This confronts the problem that there are too many test cases for anyone to run. This is a stratified sampling strategy that provides a rationale for selecting a few test cases from a huge population.

General approach:

- Divide the set of possible values of a field into subsets, pick values to represent each subset. Typical values will be at boundaries. More generally, the goal is to find a “best representative” for each subset, and to run tests with these representatives.
- Advanced approach: combine tests of several “best representatives”. Several approaches to choosing optimal small set of combinations.

Paradigmatic case(s)

- Equivalence analysis of a simple numeric field.
- Printer compatibility testing (*multidimensional variable, doesn't map to a simple numeric field, but stratified sampling is essential.*)

Domain Testing

- **In classical domain testing**
 - Two values (single points or n-tuples) are equivalent if the program would take the same path in response to each.
- **The classical domain strategies all assume**
 - that the predicate interpretations are simple, linear inequalities.
 - the input space is continuous and
 - coincidental correctness is disallowed.
- **It is possible to move away from these assumptions, but the cost can be high, and the emphasis on paths is troublesome because of the high number of possible paths through the program.**

Domain Testing

Strengths

- Find highest probability errors with a relatively small set of tests.
- Intuitively clear approach, generalizes well

Blind spots

- Errors that are not at boundaries or in obvious special cases.
- Also, the actual domains are often unknowable.

Domain Testing

Some of the Key Tasks

- Partitioning into equivalence classes
- Discovering best representatives of the sub-classes
- Combining tests of several fields
- Create boundary charts
- Find fields / variables / environmental conditions
- Identify constraints (non-independence) in the relationships among variables.

Domain Testing

Some Relevant Skills

- Identify ambiguities in specifications or descriptions of fields
- Find biggest / smallest values of a field
- Discover common and distinguishing characteristics of multi-dimensional fields, that would justify classifying some values as “equivalent” to each other and different from other groups of values.
- Standard variable combination methods, such as all-pairs or the approaches in Jorgensen and Beizer’s books

Domain Testing

Ideas for Exercises

- Find the biggest / smallest accepted value in a field
- Find the biggest / smallest value that fits in a field
- Partition fields
- Read specifications to determine the actual boundaries
- Create boundary charts for several variables
- Create standard domain testing charts for different types of variables
- For finding variables, see notes on function testing

Additional Basic Exercises

Send students to common dialog boxes, such as these in MS Word:

- Print dialog
- Page setup dialog
- Font format dialog

For each dialog

- Identify each field, and for each field
 - » Name the type of the field (integer, rational, string, etc.)
 - » List the range of entries that are “valid” for the field
 - » Partition the field and identify boundary conditions
 - » List the entries that are almost too extreme and too extreme for the field
 - » List a small number of test cases for the field and explain why the values you have chosen are best representatives of the sets of values that they were selected from.
 - » Identify any constraints imposed on this field by other fields

Additional Basic Exercises

- **Basic exercises like these can be presented with solutions. I'm working on developing a series of these. Imagine giving a student 20 examples of questions like these, with 20 solutions/comments in the back of the book.**
- **There might not be one true solution, but I think we can give three, one being a typical weak solution, one being an average acceptable solution, and one being excellent.**
- **In some cases, there are alternative good solutions.**
- **In any case, I think the answers should include commentary.**

Domain Testing: Interesting Papers

- Thomas Ostrand & Mark Balcer, *The Category-partition Method For Specifying And Generating Functional Tests*, Communications of the ACM, Vol. 31, No. 6, 1988.
- Debra Richardson, et al., *A Close Look at Domain Testing*, IEEE Transactions On Software Engineering, Vol. SE-8, NO. 4, July 1982
- Michael Deck and James Whittaker, *Lessons learned from fifteen years of cleanroom testing. STAR '97 Proceedings* (in this paper, the authors adopt boundary testing as an adjunct to random sampling.)
- Richard Hamlet & Ross Taylor, *Partition Testing Does Not Inspire Confidence*, Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Software Testing, Verification, and Analysis, IEEE Computer Society Press, 206-215, July 1988

Domain Testing: Paper of Interest

Partition Testing Does Not Inspire Confidence, Hamlet, Richard G. and Taylor, Ross, Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Software Testing, Verification, and Analysis, IEEE Computer Society Press, 206-215, July 1988

abstract = { Partition testing, in which a program's input domain is divided according to some rule and test conducted within the subdomains, enjoys a good reputation. However, comparison between testing that observes partition boundaries and random sampling that ignores the partitions gives the counterintuitive result that partitions are of little value. In this paper we improve the negative results published about partition testing, and try to reconcile them with its intuitive value. Partition testing is show to be more valuable than random testing only when the partitions are narrowly based on expected faults and there is a good chance of failure. For gaining confidence from successful tests, partition testing as usually practiced has little value.}

From the STORM search page:

<http://www.mtsu.edu/~storm/bibsearch.html>

Sample Exam Questions

Ostrand & Balcer described the category-partition method for designing tests. Their first three steps are:

- (a) Analyze
- (b) Partition, and
- (c) Determine constraints

Describe and explain these steps.

Sample Exam Questions

Imagine testing a date field. The field is of the form MM/DD/YYYY (two digit month, two digit day, 4 digit year). Do an equivalence class analysis and identify the boundary tests that you would run in order to test the field.

2 I, J, and K are integers. The program calculates $K = I * J$. For this question, consider only cases in which you enter integer values into I and J. Do an equivalence class analysis from the point of view of the effects of I and J (jointly) on the variable K. Identify the boundary tests that you would run (the values you would enter into I and J) if

- I, J, K are unsigned integers
- I, J, K are signed integers

Sample Exam Questions

Ostrand & Balcer described the category-partition method for designing tests. Their first three steps are:

1. Analyze
2. Partition, and
3. Determine constraints

Apply their method as follows:

I, J, and K are integers. For each function, F , $K = F(I,J)$. The program is a simple calculator and handles the usual, basic arithmetic functions.

Use Ostrand & Balcer's category-partition method to design a series of tests for four of the basic arithmetic functions.

Sample Exam Questions

The Spring and Fall changes between Standard and Daylight Savings time creates an interesting problem for telephone bills. Create a table that shows risks, equivalence classes, boundary cases, and expected results for a long distance telephone service that bills calls at a flat rate of \$0.05 per minute. Assume that the chargeable time of a call begins when the called party answers, and ends when the calling party disconnects.

